

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application, with Claims 1 and 14 being independent claims.

Claims 1 and 14 are amended. No new subject matter is presented.

It is gratefully acknowledged that Claims 10 and 12 remain objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang (U.S. Pat. No. 7,133,691).

Claims 1-2, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0109232).

Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang in view Hyon (U.S. Pat. No. 6,409,112).

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang in view Nagai et al. (EP 1383324 A1).

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang, the Examiner states that Kang anticipates each and every element of the claim. Amended Claim 1 teaches, in part, a camera lens unit in a portable wireless terminal including a main body and a folder rotatable about a first axis with respect to the main body, the main body having side hinge arms, the camera lens unit comprising a hinge dummy having a first end and a second end, *the first end being fixed to one of the side hinge arms*; a camera holder rotatably engaged with the second end of the hinge dummy; and *a camera lens rotating with the camera holder about a hinge axis aligned with the first axis*.

Kang discloses a portable telephone comprising a main body 10, a folder 20 rotatable about a first axis A with respect to the main body, and a camera module 30 rotatable about a

hinge axis B (FIGs 4 and 5). In the Office Action at page 3 lines 1-2, the Examiner alleges “the camera lens unit comprising a camera lens rotating about a hinge axis aligned with the first axis.” Applicant respectfully traverses the allegation. Evidences supporting the traversal are hereby reiterated as being presented in the Amendment dated August 20, 2007 that Figure 5 in Kang explicitly shows the camera module 30 and the connecting part 26 for the folder 20 are rotated on two distinct and separate rotation axes B and A, respectively. Kang fails to disclose anywhere the alignment between the first axis and the hinge axis taught by Amended Claim 1. Kang even teaches away the axis alignment by repeatedly dictating “wherein a rotational axis of said camera module is inserted not being aligned with a rotating axis of said folder” (see Kang at independent claims 1 and 14, col. 2 lines 24-26, col. 4 lines 1-7). Kang fails to disclose the limitation of *a camera lens rotating with the camera holder about a hinge axis aligned with the first axis* taught by Amended Claim 1.

Kang further discloses that both ends of the camera module 30 being rotatable with respect to both the main body and the folder 20 (FIGS. 4-5; col. 2 lines 30-36; col. 4 lines 3-5, 27-29 and 49-54). By contrast, in Amended Claim 1, the first end of the camera lens unit is *being fixed to one of the side hinge arms*, which are integral with the main body, i.e. the first end being stationary with respect to the main body. Kang also fails to disclose the limitation of *the first end being fixed to one of the side hinge arms* taught by Amended Claim 1.

Clearly, Amended Claim 1 structurally differs from Kang.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) with respect to Kang, the above rationale for Amended Claim 1 also similarly applies to Amended Claim 14.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al., the Examiner states that Park et al. anticipates each and every element of the claim. Amended Claim 1 teaches a camera lens unit in a portable wireless terminal including a main body and a folder rotatable about a first axis with respect to the main body, the main body having side hinge arms, and the folder having a center hinge arm with an opening and being positioned between the side hinge arms, the camera lens unit comprising *a hinge dummy having a first end being fixed to one of the side hinge arms*; a camera holder; and a camera lens having an end fixed

to the camera holder and rotating with the camera holder about a hinge axis aligned with the first axis.

Park et al. discloses a folder-type telephone including a body housing 10 having a first and a second hinge arms 101 and 103, a folder 20 having a center hinge arm 201 located between the inner sides of the first and the second hinge arms 101 and 102, a camera lens housing 30 installed on an outer side of the second hinge arm 102, and hinge dummy 330 (FIGs. 1 and 2, paragraphs 0020, 0022 and 0023). In the Office Action on page 10 lines 12-14, the Examiner alleges that, in Park et al., a first end of the hinge dummy 330 being fixed to the side hinge arm 103. Applicant respectfully traverses the allegation. Park et al. definitely teaches away the allegation in asserting that “the connector 330 rotates . . . within the guide arm 103” (paragraph 0026, also FIGs. 2-4). Park et al. is silent about the limitation of *a hinge dummy having a first end being fixed to one of the side hinge arms* taught by Amended Claim 1.

Clearly, Amended Claim 1 structurally differs from Park et al.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al., the above rationale for Amended Claim 1 with respect to Park et al. also similarly applies to Amended Claim 14.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all pending claims herein, namely Claims 1-18, are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul J. Farrell", written in a cursive style.

Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

The Farrell Law Firm
333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Suite 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
(516) 228-3565 - Tel
(516) 228-8475 - Fax

PJF/DGL/mk